

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,581	07/30/2003	Sin-Gu Kang	6192.0143.D1	6203	
75	590 11/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
McGuireWoods LLP			CHOW, DOON Y		
Suite 1800 1750 Tysons Bo	oulevard .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA 22102			2629		
			DATE MAILED, 11/12/200	DATE MAILED: 11/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/629,581	KANG, SIN-GU		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dennis-Doon Chow	2629		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	JNICATION.  By a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this to a BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$ . This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\Box$ 3 Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal n		e merits is	
Disnositi	ion of Claims	, , , ,			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 23-35 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29,30,34 and 35 is Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 23-27 and 32 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 28, 31 and 33 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to be specification.	s/are withdrawn from consider of the state o			
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in aborection is required if the drav	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 2629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Double Patenting**

1. Claims 23-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,621,547. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim a similar invention with different wordings.

For example:

Claim 23 of the present claims.	Claims 1 and 4 of the patented claims
23. A module for determining a driving signal timing for a liquid crystal display (LCD) device, comprising: a flexible base substrate; a gate-driving signal input line formed on one side of the base substrate that applies a gate-driving signal to the gate-driving signal input line; a gate-driving IC mounted on the flexible base substrate to be connected to the gate-driving signal input line; and a plurality of gate-driving signal output lines formed on the flexible base substrate that are connected to output terminals of the gate driving IC,	1. A module for determining a driving signal timing for a liquid crystal display (LCD) device, comprising: a flexible base substrate; a gate-driving signal input line formed on one side of the base substrate so that a gate-driving signal is applied to the gate-driving signal input line; a gate-driving IC mounted on the base substrate to be connected to the gate-driving signal input line, the gate driving IC adapted to modify linearly a level of the gate-driving signal inputted to a first gate line through a last gate line of a plurality of gate lines formed on a TFT substrate when allowing the applied gate-driving signal to be diverged in a parallel way so as to input it to the plurality of gate lines, and then, output the linearly modified gate-driving signal through output terminals thereof; and a plurality of gate-driving signal output lines formed on the base substrate in such a manner that the plurality of gate-driving signal output lines are connected to output terminals of the gate driving IC, the plurality of gate-driving signal output lines adapted to allow the gate-driving signal outputted from the output terminals of the gate-driving IC to have a linear level and to be applied to the plurality of gate lines.

Art Unit: 2629

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

24 recite the limitation "the signal transmitting line". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 23, 27 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tamai et al. (6456271).

Regarding to claim 23, Tamai discloses a module for determining a driving signal timing for a liquid crystal display (LCD) device, comprising: a flexible base substrate (5, Figs. 6 and 10; tape film, col. 9, lines 62-65); a gate-driving signal input line formed on

Art Unit: 2629

one side of the base substrate that applies a gate-driving signal to the gate-driving signal input line (Figs. 6 and 10); a gate-driving IC (3, Figs. 6 and 10) mounted on the flexible base substrate to be connected to the gate-driving signal input line; and a plurality of gate-driving signal output lines formed on the flexible base substrate that are connected to output terminals of the gate driving IC (Figs. 6 and 10).

Regarding to claim 27, Tamai further discloses the flexible base substrate has a signal input line (Vcc, Figs. 6 and 10) connected to the gate-driving IC and a signal transmitting line separated from the signal input line (GCK, Figs. 6 and 10).

Regarding to claim 32, the gate-driving signal outputted from the output terminals of the gate-driving IC inherently includes a linear level.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 24-26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 78-28 and 31-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2629

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## **Conclusion**

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 571-272-7767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-27/2-1000.

Dennis-Doon Chow Primary Examiner Art Unit 2629

D. Chow November 10, 2006